

(19) World Intellectual Property
Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
6 May 2005 (06.05.2005)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 2005/040549 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **E21B 33/13**

(21) International Application Number:
PCT/EP2004/010848

(22) International Filing Date:
27 September 2004 (27.09.2004)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
03244274 20 October 2003 (20.10.2003) GB

(71) Applicant (*for FR only*): **SERVICES PETROLIERS SCHLUMBERGER** [FR/FR]; 42, rue Saint-Dominique, F-75007 Paris (FR).

(71) Applicant (*for AT, AZ, CR, DE, DK, GB, IT, KP, KR, KZ, MX, NO, NZ, PL, RO, RU, TM, ZA only*): **SCHLUMBERGER TECHNOLOGY B.V.** [NL/NL]; Parkstraat 83-89, NL-2514 JG The Hague (NL).

(71) Applicant (*for GB, JP, NL only*): **SCHLUMBERGER HOLDINGS LIMITED**; PO Box 71, Craigmuir Chambers, Road Town, Tortola (VG).

(71) Applicant (*for CA only*): **SCHLUMBERGER CANADA LIMITED** [CA/CA]; 525 - 3rd Avenue S.W., Calgary, Alberta T2P OG4 (CA).

(71) Applicant (*for all designated States except AT, AZ, CA, CR, DE, DK, FR, GB, IT, JP, KP, KR, KZ, MX, NL, NO, NZ, PL, RO, RU, TM, US, ZA*): **SOFITECH N.V.** [BE/BE]; Rue de Stalle 140, B-1180 Brussels (BE).

(72) Inventors; and

(75) Inventors/Applicants (*for US only*): **DROCHON, Bruno** [FR/FR]; 33 rue de la Baignade, F-93160 Noisy le Grand (FR). **JAMES, Simon** [GB/FR]; 6 rue du Moulin Fidel, Apt B77, F-92350 Le Plessis-Robinson (FR).

(74) Agent: **MENES, Catherine**; Etudes & Productions Schlumberger, 1, rue Henri Becquerel-BP 202, F-92142 Clamart Cedex (FR).

(81) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of national protection available*): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BW, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, EG, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NA, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PG, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, SY, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (*unless otherwise indicated, for every kind of regional protection available*): ARIPO (BW, GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, NA, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Declaration under Rule 4.17:

— *of inventorship (Rule 4.17(iv)) for US only*

Published:

— *with international search report*

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

(54) Title: CEMENTING COMPOSITION WITH CONTROLLED MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

(57) Abstract: A cement composition for which the mechanical properties of the set cement can be controlled independently of the slurry density, both at high density (>16ppg) and at low density (<12ppg) comprises a trimodal blend of particulate materials present as fine, medium and coarse particule size fractions, the particulate materials including cement, flexible particles, and a filler, characterized in that the cement comprises the fine particle size fraction, and the flexible particles and filler comprise the medium and large particle size fractions.



WO 2005/040549 A1

CEMENTING COMPOSITION WITH CONTROLLED MECHANICAL PROPERTIES

The present invention relates to cementing compositions for drilling oil, gas, water, geothermal, or analogous wells. More precisely, the invention relates to cementing compositions that are suitable for cementing zones of such wells that are subjected to extreme stresses.

In general, a well which is more than a few hundreds of meters deep is cased, and the annular space between the underground formation and the casing is cemented over all or part of its depth. The essential function of cementing is to prevent fluid exchange between the different formation layers through which the hole passes and to control the entry of fluid into the well, in particular to limit the entry of water. In production zones, the casing, the cement and the formation are all perforated, typically by the use of explosive perforating charges, over a few metres.

The cement positioned in the annular space in an oil well is subjected to a number of stresses throughout the lifetime of the well. The pressure inside the casing can increase or decrease as the fluid filling it changes or as additional pressure is applied to the well, such as when the drilling fluid is replaced by a completion fluid or by a fluid used in a stimulation operation. A change of temperature also creates stress in the cement, at least during the transition period before the temperatures of the steel and the cement come into equilibrium. In the majority of the above cases, the stressing process is sufficiently slow to enable it to be treated as a static process.

However, the cement is subjected to other stresses which are dynamic in nature either because they occur over a very short period, or because they are either periodical or repetitive to a greater or lesser extent. Perforating does not just cause an over-pressure of a few hundred bars inside the well, it also dissipates in the form of a shock wave. In addition, perforating creates a shock when the charge penetrates the cement and that shock subjects the zone surrounding the hole to large forces extending over a length of a few meters.

Another process that creates dynamic stresses in the cement and which is now very common in oilwell operations is when a window is cut in a cemented casing to create a sidetrack.

Milling the steel over a depth of several meters followed by drilling a sidetrack subjects the cement to shock and to vibration, which often damage it irreversibly.

In an article presented at the SPE (Society of Petroleum Engineers) annual conference and exhibition 1997 (SPE 38598, 5-8 October 1997) and in French patent application FR 97 11821, 23rd September 1997) Marc Thiercelin et al. have shown that the risk of rupture of a cement sheath depends on the thermo-elastic properties of the casing, of the cement, and of the formation which surrounds the well. A detailed analysis of the mechanisms leading to rupture of the cement sheath has shown that the risk of rupture of a cement sheath following an increase in pressure and/or temperature in the well is directly linked to the Young's modulus of the cement and is attenuated when the ratio (R) of the tensile strength TS of the cement over its Young's modulus E is increased.

Young's modulus is known to characterize the flexibility of a material. Thus to increase the ratio $R = \text{Tensile strength} / \text{Young's modulus}$, materials should be selected that have a low Young's modulus, in other words materials that are highly flexible.

One known way of increasing the flexibility of hardened cement is to reduce the density of a cement slurry by watering it down. However, this method is restricted to a density range of between 12 and 16 ppg.

WO 00/20350, WO 00/37387 and WO 01/25163 all disclose the use of flexible particles incorporated in cement to provide a degree of flexibility in the cement and some protection against the detrimental effects of induced stresses in the cement. WO 00/20350 discloses cement compositions that use rubber particles to provide flexibility. WO 00/37387 proposes the use of flexible particles having grain sizes of less than $500\mu\text{m}$, Young's modulus of less than 5000MPa and densities of less than 1.5 g/cm^3 . Suitable materials in this role are thermoplastics, especially polyamides, polypropylene, polyethylene, etc., and polymers such as styrene divinyl benzene or styrene butadiene rubber (SBR). WO 01/25163 discloses the use of flexible particles with low compressibility together with dense material (hematite) to provide heavier flexible cements. The use of phenol-formaldehyde resins to modify cement mechanical properties is disclosed in UK Patent Application No. 0203505.3.

The Young's Modulus of a cementitious material is dependent on several parameters:

- the slurry porosity (defined as the volume of water divided by the volume of slurry)

- the nature and concentration of the fillers which can be blended with the cement
- the foam quality in foamed cement (defined as the volume of gas divided by the volume of the foamed slurry)

When considering conventional foamed cement or lightweight (extended) systems, the Young's Modulus of the set material is directly linked to the foam quality, i.e. gas content, (for foamed systems) or the slurry porosity, i.e. water content, (for extended systems). Therefore, there is no way to adjust the mechanical properties independently of the slurry density.

The technology described in WO 00/20350, WO 00/37387 and WO 01/25163 provides a significant improvement by using flexible fillers in a high solid content system (such as is described in EP 0 621 247). The slurries typically have densities between about 12ppg and about 16ppg. The mechanical properties are adjusted independently of density by adding more or less flexible particles in the blend. This technology is limited in its density range as the flexible particles have a much lower specific gravity compared to cement. Therefore, it is not possible to design high-density slurries (>16ppg) with a high amount of such flexible particles. At low density (<12ppg) insufficient flexible particles can be added to lower the density further without compromising the compressive strength of the cement.

This invention provides cement compositions for which the mechanical properties of the set cement can be controlled independently of the slurry density, both at high density (>16ppg) and at low density (<12ppg).

In accordance with the present invention, there is provided a well cementing composition comprising a trimodal blend of particulate materials present as fine, medium and coarse particle size fractions, the particulate materials including cement, flexible particles, and a filler, characterized in that the cement comprises the fine particle size fraction, and the flexible particles and filler comprise the medium and large particle size fractions.

The cement forming the fine particle size fraction is preferably micro-cement or a mixture of micro-cement and slag, and has a mean particle size of 10 microns or less.

The use of a fine cement allows a lower concentration of cement to be used in the blend of particulate materials while leaving more "room" for flexible additives or weighting agents (to

control the density of the mixture) or a combination of the two. Preferably, the amount of cement in the composition is less than 30% by volume of blend (BVOB). It is particularly preferred that the amount of cement is less than 25% BVOB, and according to the desired properties of the cement (in particular the compressive strength), may be less than 20% BVOB, or 15% BVOB and may even be as low as 10% BVOB.

For example a conventional design for a 17ppg system with a solid volume fraction (SVF) of 60%, i.e. porosity of 40%, might contain 10% fine silica (fine), 35% Class G (medium), 20% Hematite (large) and 35% flexible particle (large) (all% BVOB). A composition according to an embodiment of the invention (at 60% SVF) might contain 22% microcement (fine), 5% fine silica (fine), 31% Hematite (medium) and 42% flexible particle (large) (all % BVOB). This latter system has more flexible particles and thus the set cement will have a lower Young's modulus. Also the medium sized hematite is easier to stabilize in the blend and in a slurry with water than large hematite.

The preferred average particle sizes in the different particle size bands are as follows: fine – less than 10 microns; medium – 20 to 100 microns; large – 100 to 400 microns. The particulate materials are selected to provide a blend that has an optimized packing volume fraction (PVF), typically above 0.78. Such blends can comprise:

- Microcement (or blend of microcement/micro-slag) as fines particles;
- Medium and coarse particles selected from heavy fillers (such as hematite, ilmenite,...) and flexible particles (such as ground rubbers, polyethylene, styrene-divinylbenzene,...) for high density systems (above 16 ppg);
- For low density systems (below 12 ppg), medium and coarse particles selected from lightweight fillers (hollow glass beads, hollow aluminosilicate particles) and flexible particles.

The present invention will now be described by way of non-limiting examples.

Cement compositions according to this invention demonstrate that using microcement as fine particles and as the only cementitious component, allows a good compressive strength to be obtained for the set cement even when the micro-cement is used at levels as low as 10% by volume of blend.

To provide high density slurries, weighting agents have to be added in a significant amount to the blend. For a conventional system (Portland cement + weighting agent), the amount of weighting agent that can be used is quite limited due to high viscosity and slurry stability problems. Using an optimized PVF, the rheology can be maintained at an acceptable level and the amount of weighting agent significantly increasing leading to pumpable slurries up to 24 ppg. These types of slurries have a very high compressive strength but also have a high Young's Modulus.

For conventional lightweight slurries water can be added but eventually the permeability of the set cement becomes too high and the compressive strength too low.

The present invention provides the ability to adjust the Young's Modulus independently of the slurry density for slurries above 16 ppg and for slurries below 12 ppg. The concept is to use a blend of particles selected in a way such the PVF is optimized (typically above .78) with the cement being present in the fine particle size band only. This approach allows cement slurries to be designed with a given density, and which lead to set materials having from very low (1000 MPa) to medium (4000 MPa) Young Modulus.

Example 1

Very low Young's modulus

Fine Particle Fraction:	
Micro-cement 3.5 micron	12% BVOB
Manganese tetroxide 1.7 micron	10% BVOB
Medium Particle Fraction	
Hematite 70 micron	25 % BVOB
Large Particle Fraction	
Hematite 250 micron	15 % BVOB
Rubber Particles 400 micron	38% BVOB
Density	19.10 ppg
Porosity	40%
Compressive Strength	972 MPa
Young's Modulus	1609

Example 2

Low Young's Modulus

Fine Particle Fraction:	
Micro-cement 3.5 micron	19% BVOB
Manganese tetroxide 1.7 micron	10% BVOB
Medium Particle Fraction	
Hematite 70 micron	21 % BVOB
Large Particle Fraction	
Silica 300 micron	35 % BVOB
Rubber particles 400 micron	15% BVOB
Density	19.13 ppg
Porosity	40%
Compressive Strength	2565 MPa
Young's Modulus	4246

Example 3

Medium Young's Modulus

Fine Particle Fraction	
Micro-cement 3.5 micron	18% BVOB
Silica 3 micron	10% BVOB
Medium Particle Fraction	
Hematite 70 micron	20 % BVOB
Large Particle Fraction	
Silica 300 micron	52 % BVOB
Density	19.01 ppg
Porosity	40%
Compressive Strength	4253 MPa
Young's Modulus	7040

Example 4

Conventional Optimised System (comparative example)

Fine Particle Fraction	
Manganese tetroxide 1.7 micron	14 % BVOB
Medium Particle Fraction	
Class G Cement 20 – 50 micron	36% BVOB
Large Particle Fraction	

Silica 300 micron	50 % BVOB
Density	19.04 ppg
Porosity	40%
Compressive Strength	6883 MPa
Young's Modulus	11392

Note the very high Young's modulus

Example 5

Fine Particle Fraction	
Micro-cement 3.5 micron	13%
Medium Particle Fraction	
Hematite 70 micron	35 % BVOB
Large Particle Fraction	
Hematite 250 micron	20 % BVOB
Rubber particles 400 micron	32% BVOB
Density	20.52 ppg
Porosity	40%
Compressive Strength	1229 MPa
Young's Modulus	2034

Example 6

Fine Particle Fraction:	
Micro-cement 3.5 micron	10% BVOB
Manganese tetroxide 1.7 micron	15% BVOB
Medium Particle Fraction	
Hematite 70 micron	20 % BVOB
Large Particle Fraction	
Hematite 250 micron	35 % BVOB
Rubber particles 400 micron	20% BVOB
Density	22.99 ppg
Porosity	40%
Compressive Strength	1751 MPa
Young's Modulus	2898

The water used to constitute the slurries is preferably low mineral content water such as tap water. Other water, such as sea water, can also be used.

The compositions of the invention can also comprise additives that are routinely used in the majority of cementing compositions, for example dispersing agents, antifoam agents, suspension agents, cement retarders or accelerating agents, and fluid loss control agents.

Example 7

A 17ppg system is designed according to the present invention. The slurry design is given in the table below. The slurry is mixed following API procedures, placed in moulds and then cured in water for 7 days at 150°C and 20.7 MPa. Following the curing period the cement is cooled to room temperature and cut into cylinders 2 inches long and 1 inch in diameter with parallel end faces. The Young's modulus of the sample is then measured using conventional techniques (see for example Handbook on Mechanical Properties of Rocks (Vol. 1-4, V.S. Vutukuri, R.D. Lama and S.S. Saluja, Transtech Publishing, 1974). The Young's modulus of the system is 800 MPa. This is an order of magnitude lower than the Young's modulus of a conventional 15.8 ppg class G and silica system cured and tested under the same conditions.

	Design example 7	%BVOB
Micro-cement (fine) 3.5 micron	145.3 g/600ml	14
Hematite (medium) 70 micron	661.66 g/600ml	38
Synthetic rubber particle (coarse) 400 micron	175.6 g/600ml	48
Antifoam agent	2.45 g/600ml	
Dispersant	3.05 g/600ml	
Polymeric fluid loss additive	2.95 g/600ml	
Porosity	41%	
Density	17.03 lb/gal	

Example 8

A 9.7ppg system is designed according to the present invention. The slurry design is given in the table below. The slurry is mixed following API procedures, de-gassed under vacuum, placed in moulds and then cured in water for 7 days at 77°C in a water bath at ambient pressure. Following the curing period the cement is cooled to room temperature and cut into

cylinders 2 inches long and 1 inch in diameter with parallel end faces. The Young's modulus of the sample is measured using 'conventional techniques (see for example Handbook on Mechanical Properties of Rocks (Vol. 1-4, V.S. Vutukuri, R.D. Lama and S.S. Saluja, Transtech Publishing, 1974). The Young's modulus of the system is 230 MPa. This is even lower than the Young's modulus of example 7 due to the presence of additional flexible particles rather than a medium sized mineral filler.

	Design example 8	%BVOB
Micro-cement (fine) 3.5 micron	146.0 g/600ml	15
Synthetic rubber (medium) 48 micron	99 g/600ml	30
Synthetic rubber (coarse) 400 micron	181.5 g/600ml	55
Antifoam agent	1.06 g/600ml	
Dispersant	1.03 g/600ml	
Porosity	45%	
Density	9.7 lb/gal	

It will be appreciated that these examples are illustrative only. Changes can be made while still remaining within the scope of the invention.

CLAIMS

- 1 A well cementing composition comprising a trimodal blend of particulate materials present as fine, medium and coarse particle size fractions, the particulate materials including cement, flexible particles, and a filler, characterized in that the cement comprises the fine particle size fraction, and the flexible particles and filler comprise the medium and large particle size fractions.
- 2 A composition as claimed in claim 1, wherein cement is only present in the fine particle size fraction.
- 3 A composition as claimed in claim 1 or 2, wherein the fine particle size fraction has an average particle size of 10 microns or less; the medium particle size fraction has an average particle size of 20 to 100 microns; and the large particle size fraction has an average particle size of 100 to 400 microns.
- 4 A composition as claimed in any of claims 1 – 3, wherein the cement comprises micro-cement or a mixture of micro-cement and slag.
- 5 A composition as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the blend has a packing volume fraction of at least 0.78.
- 6 A composition as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the medium and large particle size fractions comprise high-density fillers and flexible particles.
- 7 A composition as claimed in claim 6, wherein the high-density fillers comprise hematite or ilmenite.
- 8 A composition as claimed in claim 6 or 7 when mixed with water to form a slurry having a density above 16 ppg.
- 9 A composition as claimed in of claims 1 - 5, wherein the medium and large particle size fractions comprise low-density fillers and flexible particles.

- 10 A composition as claimed in claim 9, wherein the low-density fillers comprise hollow glass beads, hollow aluminosilicate particles, microspheres, cenospheres, or hollow ceramic beads.
- 11 A composition as claimed in claim 9 or 10 when mixed with water to form a slurry having a density below 12 ppg.
- 12 A composition as claimed in any of claims 6 – 11, wherein the flexible particle comprise ground rubbers, polyethylene, polypropylene or styrene-divinylbenzene.
- 13 A composition as claimed in any preceding claim, comprising:
 - 10 – 30% BVOB fine particles;
 - 20 – 40% BVOB medium particles; and
 - 40 – 55% BVOB coarse particles.
- 14 A composition as claimed in claim 13, wherein the fine particles contain 10 – 25% BVOB cement.
- 15 A composition as claimed in claim 13 or 14, wherein the fine particles contain 10 – 15% manganese tetroxide.
- 16 A composition as claimed in claim 13 or 14, wherein the fine particles contain up to 10 % BVOB silica.
- 17 A composition as claimed in any of claims 13 – 16, wherein the medium particles comprise hematite.
- 18 A composition as claimed in any of claims 13 – 17, wherein the medium particles contain rubber, synthetic rubber, polypropylene or silica.
- 19 A composition as claimed in any of claims 13 – 18, wherein the coarse particles contain up to 35% BVOB hematite.
- 20 A composition as claimed in any of claims 13 – 18, wherein the coarse particles contain 15 – 40% BVOB rubber.

- 21 A composition as claimed in any of claims 13 — 18, wherein the coarse particles contain 35 — 52% BVOB silica.
- 22 A composition as claimed in any of claims 13 — 18, wherein the coarse particles contain about 55% BVOB rubber, synthetic rubber, or polypropylene.
- 23 A composition as claimed in any preceding claim, wherein the cement content of the blend is less than 30% BVOB.
- 24 A composition as claimed in claim 23, wherein the cement content is less than 25% BVOB.
- 25 A composition as claimed in claim 24, wherein the cement content is less than 20% BVOB.
- 26 A composition as claimed in claim 15, wherein the cement content is less than 15% BVOB.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/010848

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC 7 E21B33/13

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 C09K

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	EP 0 621 247 A (SOFITECH N.V; SOFITECH N V) 26 October 1994 (1994-10-26) cited in the application page 4, line 45 - page 5, line 20 page 6, line 36 - line 40 -----	1-26
Y	US 2003/116064 A1 (DANICAN SAMUEL ET AL) 26 June 2003 (2003-06-26) paragraph '0006! - paragraph '0012! -----	1-26
Y	WO 00/37387 A (SOFITECH N.V; SCHLUMBERGER CANADA LIMITED; COMPAGNIE DES SERVICES DOWE) 29 June 2000 (2000-06-29) cited in the application page 4, line 8 - page 6, line 26 ----- -/--	1-26

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

- *T* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- *X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- *Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- *&* document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

21 February 2005

Date of mailing of the international search report

01/03/2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Zimpfer, E

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/EP2004/010848

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category °	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P, A	GB 2 399 083 A (* SCHLUMBERGER HOLDINGS LIMITED) 8 September 2004 (2004-09-08) page 4; table 1 page 1 - page 3 -----	1-26
A	GB 2 385 325 A (* SCHLUMBERGER HOLDINGS LIMITED) 20 August 2003 (2003-08-20) cited in the application the whole document -----	1-26

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/010848

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0621247	A	26-10-1994	FR 2704218 A1	28-10-1994
			AU 666261 B2	01-02-1996
			AU 6053094 A	27-10-1994
			BR 9401553 A	22-11-1994
			CA 2117276 A1	22-10-1994
			DE 69419352 D1	12-08-1999
			DE 69419352 T2	13-01-2000
			DK 621247 T3	13-12-1999
			EP 0621247 A2	26-10-1994
			GB 2277927 A , B	16-11-1994
			JP 7144952 A	06-06-1995
			NO 941440 A	24-10-1994
<hr/>				
US 2003116064	A1	26-06-2003	EP 1260491 A1	27-11-2002
			FR 2808794 A1	16-11-2001
			AU 5635601 A	26-11-2001
			WO 0187797 A1	22-11-2001
			GB 2377932 A , B	29-01-2003
			NO 20025413 A	03-12-2002
			AU 5839901 A	26-11-2001
			WO 0187796 A1	22-11-2001
			GB 2379927 A	26-03-2003
			NO 20025412 A	08-01-2003
			US 2003168215 A1	11-09-2003
<hr/>				
WO 0037387	A	29-06-2000	FR 2787441 A1	23-06-2000
			AT 256088 T	15-12-2003
			AU 1977100 A	12-07-2000
			BR 9916160 A	30-10-2001
			CA 2353726 A1	29-06-2000
			DE 69913569 D1	22-01-2004
			WO 0037387 A1	29-06-2000
			EP 1152996 A1	14-11-2001
			NO 20013059 A	21-08-2001
			US 6645288 B1	11-11-2003
<hr/>				
GB 2399083	A	08-09-2004	WO 2004078671 A1	16-09-2004
<hr/>				
GB 2385325	A	20-08-2003	NONE	
<hr/>				